

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,159	09/27/2001	Rolf Dieter Schraft	SPM-328-B	3931
75	90 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.			BAXTER, JESSICA R	
Suite 624 3001 West Big I	Beaver Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48084-3107			3731	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· _		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <i>U</i>
Advisory Action	09/965,159	SCHRAFT ET AL.	1/
	Examiner	Art Unit	1/
	Jessica R Baxter	3731	U
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper repict places the application of the contract	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data wave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most arred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate exite. The appropriate exite final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/965,159

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amenment to claim 1requires further consideration and search. The amendment of February 4, 2004 was considered at the time of the Final Rejection. Stefanchik et al. '263 is considered to have intersecting guide tracks since the center portion of each guide track is shared (FIG. 14 plow 290). Regarding the telephone calls of July 15th and 16th, the Examiner may require that the interview be scheduled in advance. Please see MPEP 713.